arXiv:1403.5848v2 [math.KT] 30 Mar 2014

COHOMOLOGY OF $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ AND ITS CHERN-CONNES PAIRING

SAFDAR QUDDUS

Abstract. We calculate the Hochschild and cyclic cohomology of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ toroidal orbifold $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. We also calculate the Chern-Connes pairing of the even cyclic cohomology group with the known elements of $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

1. Introduction and Statement

In the classic paper [C], A.Connes constructed a noncommutative analogue of the Chern map for differential geometry. He considered the map from the K_0 of the noncommutative algebras to the *even cyclic homology* and paired the projections with the cyclic cohomology to give a numerical invariant for the projection.

In this article we compute the Hochschild and cyclic cohomology of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ non-commutative toroidal orbifold, $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. In my paper [Q], the cyclic homology for $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$ was calculated for all finite subgrops Γ of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Using the results therein [Q] and the projections calculated by [ELPH] we compute the Chern-Connes pair table for $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Following are the statements of the theorems proved in this paper.

THEOREM 1.1. *If $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$ the Hochschild cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ can be described as follows,*

$$H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) \cong \mathbb{C}^5,\ H^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) \cong 0 \text{ and}$$
$$H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

THEOREM 1.2. $HC^{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^6$ *and* $HC^{odd}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$.

THEOREM 1.3. *We also give a description of the Chern-Connes pairing of the six dimensional group $HC^{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$ with the five known independent projections of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

	$S\tau$	$S\mathcal{D}_{1,1}$	$S\mathcal{D}_{0,0}$	$S\mathcal{D}_{0,1}$	$S\mathcal{D}_{1,0}$	φ
1	1	0	0	0	0	0
p^θ	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0
q_1^θ	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
q_2^θ	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0
r^θ	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	$-\frac{\lambda}{2}$	0

Based on our observations, we end the article with a conjecture on the dimesnion of the unknown group $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 58B34; 18G60

Date: April 1, 2014.

Key words and phrases. cohomology, non-commutative torus, chern-connes pairing.

2. Hochschild cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$

We see that the dual of the algebraic non-commutative torus $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ is

$$\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*} = \left\{ \sum_{(n,m)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} a_{n,m} U_1^n U_2^m | U_1^* = U_1^{-1}, U_2^* = U_2^{-1}, U_2U_1 = e^{2\pi i\theta} U_1U_2 \right\}.$$

The algebra above, unlike $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ is not finitely supported. Now we have an an explicit description as above with this we now construct the cohomology complex for the non-commutative torus algebra and use the paracyclic spectral decomposition to calculate the cohomology of the corresponding orbifold.

Using the results of [Q], the cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*)$ decomposes into two groups each corresponding to the group elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

$$H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) = H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

We can identify $Hom_{\mathcal{B}_\theta^{alg}}(\mathcal{B}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})$ with ${}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}$. And ${}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}$ can be identified with $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}$ with $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}$ bi-module structure as below

$$\alpha \cdot a = (-1 \cdot \alpha)a \text{ while } a \cdot \alpha = a\alpha, \text{ for } a \in {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}.$$

Also we notice the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}$ which acts by involution. It maps $U_j \mapsto U_j^{-1}$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Using the adjusted Connes' resolution and the notations as in previous chapters, we get the following complex.

$$ {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*} \xrightarrow{{}_{-1}\alpha_1} {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*} \oplus {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*} \xrightarrow{{}_{-1}\alpha_2} {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*} \to 0$$

where the map ${}_{-1}\alpha_1$ and ${}_{-1}\alpha_2$ are as follows:
${}_{-1}\alpha_1(\varphi) = (U_1^{-1}\varphi - \varphi U_1, U_2^{-1}\varphi - \varphi U_2)$;
${}_{-1}\alpha_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = (U_2^{-1}\varphi_1 - \lambda\varphi_1 U_2 - \lambda U_1^{-1}\varphi_2 + \varphi_2 U_1)$.

LEMMA 2.1. $H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}^4$.

Proof. Let $\varphi = \sum \varphi_{n,m} U_1^n U_2^m \in {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}$ satisfy $U_1^{-1}\varphi - \varphi U_1 = U_2^{-1}\varphi - \varphi U_2 = 0$
$\implies \varphi_{n+1,m} = \lambda^m \varphi_{n-1,m} = \lambda^{m+n-1}\varphi_{n-1,m-2}$.
Hence we see that $H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}) \cong \mathbb{C}^4$. The generators of this group are the cocycles generated by $\varphi_{0,0}, \varphi_{0,1}, \varphi_{1,0}$ and $\varphi_{1,1}$.

Let us consider the cocycle $\mathcal{D}_{0,0}$, generated by $\varphi_{0,0}$. The above condition on the coefficients of a cocycle relates $\varphi_{n,m} = \varphi_{0,0}$ for all $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Hence the group action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}$ leaves $\mathcal{D}_{0,0}$ invariant.

Similarly for $\mathcal{D}_{0,1}$, we get that $\varphi_{2k.2l+1} = \lambda^{2kl+k}\varphi_{0,1}$, while
$\varphi_{-2k.-2l-1} = \lambda^{2(-k)(-l-1)+(-k)}\varphi_{0,1} = \lambda^{2kl+k}\varphi_{0,1}$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_{0,1} \in H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

Similarly for $\mathcal{D}_{1,0}$, we get that $\varphi_{2k+1.2l} = \lambda^{2kl+l}\varphi_{1,0}$, while
$\varphi_{-2k.-2l-1} = \lambda^{2(-k-1)(-l)+(-l)}\varphi_{1,0} = \lambda^{2kl+l}\varphi_{1,0}$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_{1,0} \in H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

Similarly for $\mathcal{D}_{1,1}$, we get that $\varphi_{2k+1.2l+1} = \lambda^{2kl+k+l}\varphi_{1,1}$, while
$\varphi_{-2k-1.-2l-1} = \lambda^{2kl+k+l}\varphi_{1,1}$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_{1,1} \in H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. □

LEMMA 2.2. $H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}$ and let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be the corresponding element of $Hom_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}}(J_2, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})$:

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a \otimes b \otimes e_1 \wedge e_2)(x) = \varphi((-1 \cdot b)xa) \ \forall a, b, x \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$$

Let $\psi = k_2^* \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ k_2$. One has

$$\psi(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \tilde{\varphi}(k_2(I \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2))(x_0) \ \forall x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}.$$

The group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ in the bar complex as $-1 \cdot \chi(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \chi(-1 \cdot x_0, -1 \cdot x_1, -1 \cdot x_2)$. Further we pullback the map ${}_{-1}\psi = -1 \cdot \psi$ back on to the Kozul complex via the map h_2^*. Let $w = h_2^*({}_{-1}\psi)$ denote the pull back of ${}_{-1}\psi$ on the Kozul complex. We have
$w(x_0) = {}_{-1}\psi(x_0, U_2, U_1) - \lambda {}_{-1}\psi(x_0, U_1, U_2) = \psi(-1 \cdot x_0, U_2^{-1}, U_1^{-1}) - \lambda\psi(-1 \cdot x_0, U_1^{-1}, U_2^{-1})$
$= \tilde{\varphi}(k_2(I \otimes U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1}))(-1 \cdot x_0) - \lambda\tilde{\varphi}(k_2(I \otimes U_1^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1}))(-1 \cdot x_0)$.

We know that from the calculation in chapter 3 that
$k_2(I \otimes U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1}) - \lambda k_2(I \otimes U_1^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1}) = (U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1})$. Hence we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(k_2(I \otimes U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1}))(-1 \cdot x_0) - \lambda\tilde{\varphi}(k_2(I \otimes U_1^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1}))(-1 \cdot x_0) = \\ \tilde{\varphi}(U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1})(-1 \cdot x_0) = \varphi(U_1U_2 \cdot (-1 \cdot x_0) \cdot U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1})$$

Hence we need to compare $\varphi(x)$ with $\varphi(U_1U_2 \cdot (-1 \cdot x) \cdot U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1})$.

Using the Kozul complex, we see that $H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}/Im({}_{-1}\alpha_2)$. Since ${}_{-1}\alpha_2(U_2, 0) = (1 - \lambda U_2^2)$ and ${}_{-1}\alpha_2(0, U_1) = (U_1^2 - \lambda)$, we have $H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}) \cong \mathbb{C}^4$ generated by the cocycles supported at $\varphi_{0,0}, \varphi_{1,0}, \varphi_{0.1}$ and $\varphi_{1,1}$.

Case 1:
We check the invariance of $\varphi_{0,0}$,
$\varphi_{0,0}(x) = x_{0,0}$ while $\varphi(U_1U_2 \cdot (-1 \cdot x) \cdot U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}) = \lambda^{-1}x_{0,0}$.
Hence it is *not* invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action.

Case 2:
We check the invariance of $\varphi_{1,0}$,
$\varphi_{1,0}(x) = x_{1,0}$ while $\varphi_{1,0}(U_1U_2 \cdot (-1 \cdot x) \cdot U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}) = x_{-1,0}$
Since the cocycle $\varphi_{1,0}$ is equivalent to $\lambda\varphi_{-1,0}$, we have it *not* invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action.

Case 3:
We check the invariance of $\varphi_{0,1}$,
$\varphi_{0,1}(x) = x_{0,1}$ while $\varphi_{0,1}(U_1U_2 \cdot (-1 \cdot x) \cdot U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}) = x_{0,-1}$
Since the cocycle $\varphi_{0,1}$ is equivalent to $\lambda^{-1}\varphi_{0,-1}$, we have it *not* invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action.

Case 4:
We check the invariance of $\varphi_{1,1}$,
$\varphi_{1,1}(x) = x_{1,1}$ while $\varphi_{1,1}(U_1U_2 \cdot (-1 \cdot x) \cdot U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}) = \lambda^{-1}x_{-1,-1}$
Since $\varphi_{1,1}$ is a cocycle equivalent to $\varphi_{-1,-1}$, we have this cocycle is *not* invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action.

For $\psi = a\varphi_{0,0} + b\varphi_{1,0} + c\varphi_{0,1} + d\varphi_{1,1}$, the pull back of the corresponding cocycle after $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on the Kozul complex is Ψ, which can be described as follows,

$$\Psi = a\lambda^{-1}\varphi_{0,0} + b\lambda^{-1}\varphi_{1,0} + c\lambda^{-1}\varphi_{0,1} + d\lambda^{-1}\varphi_{1,1}.$$

Hence we see that the coefficients of this pull back is different from those of the original cocycle. And the only invariant cocycle is the zero cocycle.

Therefore we conclude that

$$H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0.$$

We remark in this computation that $H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})$ is of 4 dimension. But there is no nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant cocycle. □

DEFINITION 2.3 (Lines)**.** *For $s_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ by H_{s_0} define a $\mathbb{Z}^2$ lattice such that*

$$(H_{s_0})_{w,s} := \begin{cases} (\pi_1(Dgm(\varphi)))_{w,s} & \text{for } s = s_0 \\ 0 & else. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.4. *For $s_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $\gamma_{s_0} \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}$ such that for all $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $({}_{-1}\alpha_1(\gamma_{s_0}))_{w,s_0} - (H_{s_0})_{w,s_0} = 0$.*

Proof. We know that ${}_{-1}\alpha_1(\varphi) = (U_1^{-1}\varphi - \varphi U_1, U_2^{-1}\varphi - \varphi U_2)$, hence if ${}_{-1}\alpha_1(\varphi) = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ then,

$$\varphi^1_{n,m} = \varphi_{n+1,m} - \lambda^m\varphi_{n-1,m};\ \varphi^2_{n,m} = \lambda^{-n}\varphi_{n,m+1} - \varphi_{n,m-1}.$$

Since we know that a connected component of the kernel diagram looks like :



Assume that $\varphi^1_{0,s_0} \neq 0$, from the diagram it is clear that in the row $y = s_0$ in $\pi_1(Dgm(\varphi))$, $\varphi^2_{w,s_0} = 0$ for all $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $(\gamma'_{s_0})_{w,s} = \begin{cases} -\lambda^{-s_0}\varphi^1_{0,s} & \text{for } (w,s) = (-1, s_0) \\ 0 & else. \end{cases}$

Hence we have, $({}_{-1}\alpha_1(\gamma'_{s_0}))_{0,s_0} - (H_{s_0})_{0,s_0} = 0$. Since $\pi_1(Dgm(\varphi))_{-1,s_0} = (0,0)$, we define

$$(\gamma''_{s_0})_{w,s} = \begin{cases} -\lambda^{-s_0}(\varphi^1_{-2,s} - \lambda^{-s_0}\varphi^1_{0,s}) & \text{for } (w,s) = (-3, s_0) \\ (\gamma'_{s_0})_{w,s} & else. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have, $(_{-1}\alpha_1(\gamma''_{s_0}))_{-2,s_0} - (H_{s_0})_{-2,s_0} = (_{-1}\alpha_1(\gamma''_{s_0}))_{0,s_0} - (H_{s_0})_{0,s_0} = 0$.
We can hence construct a sequence $\gamma^{(n)}_{s_0}$ which satisfies the required condition for finitely many lattice points. Define $\gamma^{\leq}_{s_0} := \lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma^{(n)}_{s_0}$. Since $\gamma^{\leq}_{s_0,w} \in \mathcal{A}^{alg*}_\theta$, we have

$$(_{-1}\alpha_1(\gamma^{\leq}_{s_0}))_{\bullet,s_0} - (H_{s_0})_{\bullet,s_0} = 0 \text{ for } \bullet \leq 0.$$

We can similarly define $\gamma^{>}_{s_0}$ such that

$$(_{-1}\alpha_1(\gamma^{>}_{s_0}))_{\bullet,s_0} - (H_{s_0})_{\bullet,s_0} = 0 \text{ for } \bullet > 0.$$

Then $\gamma_{s_0} := \gamma^{\leq}_{s_0} + \gamma^{>}_{s_0}$, satisfies the following equation.

$$(_{-1}\alpha_1(\gamma_{s_0}))_{\bullet,s_0} - (H_{s_0})_{\bullet,s_0} = 0 \text{ for } \bullet \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

□

It may be surprising to note the degree of freedom we had while constructing γ_{s_0}. This can be traced back to the fact that the kernel of $_{-1}\alpha_1$ is a 4 dimensional vector space. That is $_{-1}\alpha_1$ is way away from being injective. As we shall prove an arbitrary cocycle to be a coboundary, we shall notice the various possibility we have in doing so; hence reveal the nature of map $_{-1}\alpha_1$.

LEMMA 2.5. $H^1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}^{alg*}_\theta) \cong 0$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in ker(_{-1}\alpha_2)$. Recall that with the diagram representation of the kernel element $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2)$, we have a lattice $Dgm(\varphi) \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2$. It is important to recall that each $\pi_i(Dgm(\varphi))$ are lattices in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with alternate rows of φ^1 and φ^2. Here $\pi_i : \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}^2$ are the projection maps.

Let us understand the construction of a $\pi_i(Dgm(\varphi))$. The $\pi_i(Dgm(\varphi))$ consists of alternate non-zero entries, meaning one considering a row/column will find zeros at least at alternate positions. Each $\pi_i(Dgm(\varphi))$ has rows/columns of φ^2's and φ^1's alternately placed.

Applying the lemma 4.5 above for H_{s_0} for each s_0 we get a sequence $\gamma_{s_0} \in \mathcal{A}^{alg*}_\theta$. Define $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_{-2} + ...$, then the lattice

$$_{-1}\alpha_1(\gamma) - \pi_1(Dgm(\varphi))$$

has alternate rows of zero. We need not worry about convergence as we are adding disjointly supported lattices. These are the rows where φ^1 is used to reside in $\pi_1(Dgm(\varphi))$. The other alternate set consists of the modified φ'^2's. We state that what remains belongs to $im(_{-1}\alpha_1)$.

Firstly notice that each of these φ'^2 rows belong to $ker(_{-1}\alpha_2)$ separately. This is easy to see as there is no kernel equation that relates $\varphi'_{p,q}$ with $\varphi_{l,w}$ for $q \neq w$. Now also note that if there is even a single zero entry in any of these rows, then, the whole row ought to be a *zero row*. Again, this is also seen through the repetitive application of the kernel equation to the row starting with the kernel equation containing the zero entry. With the following lemma we prove that $H^1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}^{alg*}_\theta) = 0$.

LEMMA 2.6. *For $s_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $(\eta)_w$ be a sequence satisfying $\eta_{w+1} = \lambda^{s_0-1}\eta_{w-1}$. Then there exist ρ^-, ρ^+ such that $_{-1}\alpha_1(\rho^-_{s_0}) = {}_{-1}\alpha_1(\rho^+_{s_0}) = \psi$, where,*

$$(\psi)_{w,s} := \begin{cases} \eta_w & for\ (w,s) = (w, s_0) \\ 0 & else. \end{cases}.$$

Proof. We define

$$(\rho'^{-}_{s_0})_{w,s} := \begin{cases} \eta_w & \text{for } (w,s) = (w, s_0 - 1) \\ 0 & else. \end{cases}.$$

Then, ${}_{-1}\alpha_1(\rho'^{-}_{s_0}) - \psi$ has non-zero entries only in the row at $s = s_0 - 2$. Similarly we can define $\rho''^{-}_{s_0}$ such that ${}_{-1}\alpha_1(\rho'^{-}_{s_0}) + {}_{-1}\alpha_1(\rho''^{-}_{s_0}) - \psi$ has non-zero entries only in the row at $s = s_0 - 4$. Define $\rho^{-}_{s_0} = \rho'^{-}_{s_0} + \rho''^{-}_{s_0} + ...$, then ${}_{-1}\alpha_1(\rho^{-}_{s_0}) - \psi = 0$. Since each $\rho^{(n)-}_{s_0}$ are disjointly supported, $\rho^{-}_{s_0}$ is well defined.

Similarly we can define $\rho^{+}_{s_0}$. □

Hence we consider a given row of φ^2 in ${}_{-1}\alpha_1(\gamma) - \pi_1(Dgm(\varphi))$, for each of its rows below the x-axis we get corresponding ρ^{-}_{s} and each of the φ^2 rows above the x-axis we get corresponding ρ^{+}_{s}, then $\rho = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{N}} \rho^{-}_{s} + \rho^{+}_{-s}$ has the property that ${}_{-1}\alpha_1(\rho) = {}_{-1}\alpha_1(\gamma) - \pi_1(Dgm(\varphi))$, hence, $H^1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}^{alg*}_{\theta}) = 0$. □

3. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant Hochschild cohomology, $H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta}, \mathcal{A}^{alg*}_{\theta})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$

LEMMA 3.1. $H^0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta}, \mathcal{A}^{alg*}_{\theta})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi = \sum \varphi_{n,m} U_1^n U_2^m \in {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}^{alg*}_{\theta}$ satisfying $U_1\varphi - \varphi U_1 = U_2\varphi - \varphi U_2 = 0$.
$\implies \varphi_{n-1,m} = \lambda^m \varphi_{n-1,m} = \lambda^{m+n-1}\varphi_{n-1,m}$.
We see that $m = n - 1 = 0$ satisfies the above condition. Hence, we have

$$H^0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta}, \mathcal{A}^{alg*}_{\theta}) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

and is generated by $\varphi_{0,0}$.

Since the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on bar complex translates as it is on to the Kozul complex using the maps $h_0 = k_0 = id$, we get that

$$H^0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta}, \mathcal{A}^{alg*}_{\theta})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

□

LEMMA 3.2. $H^2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta}, \mathcal{A}^{alg*}_{\theta})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We see from the calculations in [C] that $H^2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta}, \mathcal{A}^{alg*}_{\theta})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathcal{A}^{alg*}_{\theta}/Im\alpha_2$.

Since $\alpha_2(U_2, 0) = (1-\lambda)(U_2^2)$ and $\alpha_2(0, U_1) = (1-\lambda)(U_1^2)$. We have $H^2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta}, \mathcal{A}^{alg*}_{\theta}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ generated by the cocycle equivalent to $\varphi_{-\bar{1},-1}$. To check the invariance of this cocycle we need to check that,

$$\tilde{\varphi}(k_2(I \otimes U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1}))(-1 \cdot x_0) - \lambda\tilde{\varphi}(k_2(I \otimes U_1^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1}))(-1 \cdot x_0) =$$
$$\tilde{\varphi}(U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1}U_1^{-1})(-1 \cdot x_0) = \varphi(U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1} \cdot (-1 \cdot x_0) \cdot U_2^{-1}U_1^{-1}).$$

Consider the cocycle $\varphi_{-\bar{1},-1} \in H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})$, we see that $\varphi_{-\bar{1},-1}(x) = x_{-1,-1}$ while $\bar{\varphi}(U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}\cdot(-1\cdot x)\cdot U_2^{-1}U_1^{-1}) = x_{-1,-1}$. We conclude that $\varphi_{-\bar{1},-1}$ is invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action.

□

LEMMA 3.3. $H^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$.

Proof. We firstly look at the kernel set of α_2.

$$\alpha_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = (U_2^{-1}\varphi_1 - \lambda\varphi_1 U_2 - \lambda U_1^{-1}\varphi_2 + \varphi_2 U_1).$$

We get that for $(\varphi^1, \varphi^2) \in ker(\alpha_2)$, we need to ensure that

$$(\lambda^n - \lambda)\varphi^1_{n,m-1} = (\lambda - \lambda^m)\varphi^2_{n-1,m}.$$

We define an element $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}$ as follows

$$\varphi_{n-1,m} = (1 - \lambda^m)^{-1}\varphi^1_{n,m}.$$

It is easy to check that $\alpha_1(\varphi)_{n,m} = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2)_{n,m}$ for all $(n,m) \neq (0,m); (n,0)$. Hence we have

$$H^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}) \cong \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Which is generated by $\tilde{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}$ and $\tilde{\varphi^2_{0,-1}}$. To calculate the invariance we use the complex maps h_1 and k_1. We recall the technique deployed in previous lemma. We consider the element $(a\varphi^1_{-1,0}, b\varphi^2_{0,-1}) \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \oplus \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ and let $(a\tilde{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}, b\tilde{\varphi^2_{0,-1}}) \in Hom_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}}(J_1, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$:

$$\tilde{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}(a \otimes b \otimes e_1)(x) = \varphi^1_{-1,0}(bxa),\ \forall a, b, x \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}.$$

Let $\psi = k_1^*(a\tilde{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}, b\tilde{\varphi^2_{0,-1}}) = (a\tilde{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}, b\tilde{\varphi^2_{0,-1}}) \circ k_1$. One has

$$\psi(x_0, x_1) = (a\tilde{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}, b\tilde{\varphi^2_{0,-1}})(k_1(I \otimes x_1))(x_0),\ \forall x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}.$$

Thereafter we have the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on ψ. Define ${}_{-1}\psi(x_0, x_1) = \psi(-1 \cdot x_0, -1 \cdot x_1)$. We now pull back ${}_{-1}\psi$ on to the Kozul complex to compare with the original cocycle. The pull back w can be described as follows:

$$(w_1, w_2) = h_1^*({}_{-1}\psi), \text{ consider } w_1(x) = {}_{-1}\psi(x, U_1).$$

We observe that $w_1(x) = {}_{-1}\psi(x, U_1) = \psi(-1 \cdot x, U_1^{-1}) = a\tilde{\varphi^1_{1,0}}(k_1(I \otimes U_1^{-1}))(-1 \cdot x)$.
We know from our computations in chapter 3 that $k_1(I \otimes U_1^{-1}) = -(U_1^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1})$, using this relation we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} &a\tilde{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}(k_1(I \otimes U_1^{-1}))(-1 \cdot x) = -\tilde{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}(U_1^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1})(-1 \cdot x) \\ &= -\varphi^1_{-1,0}(U_1^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1})(-1 \cdot x) = -\varphi^1_{-1,0}(U_1^{-1} \cdot (-1 \cdot x) \cdot U_1^{-1}) = -x_{-1,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can calculate w_2 and hence we finally conclude that

$$h_1^*(-1 \cdot (k_1^*(a\tilde{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}, b\tilde{\varphi^2_{0,-1}}))) = -(a\tilde{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}, b\tilde{\varphi^2_{0,-1}}).$$

So, we have

$$H^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0.$$

□

THEOREM 3.4. *The Hochschild cohomology groups for $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ are as follows*

$$H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) \cong \mathbb{C}^5;\ H^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) \cong 0;$$
$$H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. We know that $H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}^4$ and $H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}$. Hence, we obtain that $H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) \cong \mathbb{C}^5$.

Now, $H^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) = H^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus H^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong 0$ is clear as each of these summands is zero. As for $H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*)$, observe that $H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$. Hence, we have $H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

□

4. Cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$

We consider the S, B, I sequence for cohomology exact sequence.

$$\cdots \to H^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xrightarrow{B} HC^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xrightarrow{I} HC^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xrightarrow{S}$$
$$H^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xrightarrow{B} HC^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xrightarrow{I} \dots$$

Since, $HC^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}) = H^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}) = 0$. We get $HC^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*}) \cong \mathbb{C}^4$.

THEOREM 4.1. *For the algebraic non-commutative toroidal orbifold $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have,*

$$HC^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^5; HC^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong 0;$$
$$HC^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^6$$

Proof. Since $H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}^4$, while, $H^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}$, we have,

$$HC^0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^5.$$

We see that $HC^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\pm 1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$, and we have

$$HC^1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) \cong 0.$$

Also since $HC^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ and $HC^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg*})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}^4$, we have

$$HC^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^6.$$

□

We also calculate the periodic cyclic homology of the $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.

THEOREM 4.2 (Periodic Cyclic Cohomology). *$HC^{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^6$ and the odd cyclic homology group $HC^{odd}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) = 0$ for $\bullet \geq 3$, we have the isomorphism $HC^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*) \cong HC^{\bullet+2}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)^*)$ for $\bullet > 1$. Hence the results. □

5. Chern-Connes pairing for $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$

In this section we calculate the Chern-Connes pairing associated with this toroidal orbifold $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. We see that among the six projections generating $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$, described in [ELPH], five belong to the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. They are the following

- $[1]$
- $[p^\theta]$, where $p^\theta = \frac{1}{2}(1+t)$.
- $[q_0^\theta]$, where $q_0^\theta = \frac{1}{2}(1-U_1 t)$.
- $[q_1^\theta]$, where $q_1^\theta = \frac{1}{2}(1-U_2 t)$.
- $[r^\theta]$, where $r^\theta = \frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{\lambda}U_1U_2 t)$.

A complete description of the group $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is unknown, with the Chern-Connes pairing of these five generators we will have some understanding of its non-commutative index theory. We describe pairing of the elements of $HC^{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$ with these five projections. Using the fact that $\langle [e], [S\phi] \rangle = \langle [e], [\phi] \rangle$, we have the following computations

Pairing of $[S\tau]$

The following are the pairings with the element $[S\tau] \in HC^{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

1. $\langle [1], [\tau] \rangle = 1$
2. $\langle [p^\theta], [\tau] \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$
3. $\langle [q_0^\theta], [\tau] \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$
4. $\langle [q_1^\theta], [\tau] \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$
5. $\langle [r^\theta], [\tau] \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$.

Pairing of $[S\mathcal{D}_{0,0}]$

The following are the pairings with the element $[S\mathcal{D}_{0,0}] \in HC^{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

1. $\langle [1], [\mathcal{D}_{0,0}] \rangle = 0$
2. $\langle [p^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{0,0}] \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$
3. $\langle [q_0^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{0,0}] \rangle = 0$
4. $\langle [q_1^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{0,0}] \rangle = 0$
5. $\langle [r^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{0,0}] \rangle = 0$.

Pairing of $[S\mathcal{D}_{1,0}]$

The following are the pairings with the element $[S\mathcal{D}_{1,0}] \in HC^{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

1. $\langle [1], [\mathcal{D}_{1,0}] \rangle = 0$
2. $\langle [p^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{1,0}] \rangle = 0$
3. $\langle [q_0^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{1,0}] \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}$
4. $\langle [q_1^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{1,0}] \rangle = 0$
5. $\langle [r^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{1,0}] \rangle = 0$.

Pairing of $[S\mathcal{D}_{0,1}]$

The following are the pairings with the element $[S\mathcal{D}_{0,1}] \in HC^{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

1. $\langle [1], [\mathcal{D}_{0,1}] \rangle = 0$
2. $\langle [p^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{0,1}] \rangle = 0$
3. $\langle [q_0^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{0,1}] \rangle = 0$
4. $\langle [q_1^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{0,1}] \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}$
5. $\langle [r^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{0,1}] \rangle = 0$.

Pairing of $[S\mathcal{D}_{1,1}]$

The following are the pairings with the element $[S\mathcal{D}_{1,1}] \in HC^{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

1. $\langle [1], [\mathcal{D}_{1,1}] \rangle = 0$
2. $\langle [p^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{1,1}] \rangle = 0$
3. $\langle [q_0^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{1,1}] \rangle = 0$
4. $\langle [q_1^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{1,1}] \rangle = 0$
5. $\langle [r^\theta], [\mathcal{D}_{1,1}] \rangle = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2}$.

Pairing of $[\varphi]$

The following are the pairings with the element $[\varphi] \in HC^{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where φ is the even cocycle computed in the paper of A.Connes [C]

1. $\langle [1], [\varphi] \rangle = 0$
2. $\langle [p^\theta], [\varphi] \rangle = 0$
3. $\langle [q_0^\theta], [\varphi] \rangle = 0$
4. $\langle [q_1^\theta], [\varphi] \rangle = 0$
5. $\langle [r^\theta], [\varphi] \rangle = 0$.

We observe that since these five projections of the algebraic noncommutative toroidal orbifold $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ are projections of the smooth orbifold, $\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$; their linear independence in $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$ implies that they are linearly independent in $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$. We conjecture that these five projections span the group $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

CONJECTURE 5.1. $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$.

References

[AFLS] J. Alev, M. A. Farinati, T. Lambre and A. L. Solotar: Homology of invariants of a Weyl algebra under a finite group action. (Homologie des invariants d'une algbre de Weyl sous l'action d'un groupe fini, *J. Algebra 232, (2000), pp. 564-577.*

[AL] J. Alev and T. Lambre: Homologie des invariants d'une algebre de Weyl, *K-Theory,18, (1999), pp. 401-411.*

[AS] M. Atiyah and I. Singer: The Index of Elliptic Operators, *Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 87, No. 3, (1968) pp. 484-530.*

[B] J. Baudry: Invariants du tore quantique. (French. French summary) [Invariants of the quantum torus], *Bull. Sci. Math., 134(2010), pp. 531-547.*

[BRT] Y. Berest, A. Ramadoss, X.Tang: The Picard group of a noncommutative algebraic quantum torus, *J. of Noncommutative Geometry, to appear.*

[C] A. Connes: Noncommutative differential geometry, *Publ. Math. IHES 62, 257-360 (1985).*

[ELPH] S.Echterhoff, W.Luck, N.Phillips, S.Waters: The structure of crossed products of irrational rotation algebras by finite subgroups of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$,*Journal fr die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Volume 639, 173-221 (2010).*

[GJ] E. Getzler and D. John: The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras, *Journal fr die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Volume 445, 161-174 (1993).*

[LJ] J. Loday: Cyclic homology(ISBN 3540630740) *Springer, Second Edition.*

[LM] M. Lorenz, On the homology of graded algebras, *Com. Alg.,20-2, 1992, pp. 489-507.*

[O] A. Oblomkov: Double affine Hecke algebras of rank 1 and affine cubic surfaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not., (2004), pp. 877-912.*

[OP] P.Etingof and A.Oblomkov: Quantization, orbifold cohomology, and Cherednik algebras. (English summary) Jack, Hall-Littlewood and Macdonald polynomials, *Contemp. Math., 417, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2006), pp. 171-182.*

[OS] P. Olczykowsky and A. Sitarz: K-theory of noncommutative Bieberbach manifolds, *(arXiv:1205.0743) May 2012.*

[PV] M.Penkava and P.Vanhaecke: Hochschild cohomology of polynomial algebras, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, Vol 3, Number 3, 365-393 (2001).*

[R1] M. Rieffel: C*-algebras associated with irrational rotations, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol 93, No. 2 (1981), 415-429.*

[R2] M. Rieffel: Nonstable K-theory and noncommutative tori, *Contemporary Mathematics, Vol 2 (1987), 267-279.*

[R3] M. Rieffel: Non-Commutative Tori - A Case Study of Non-Commutative Differentiable Manifolds, *Contemporary Mathematics 105 (1990) 191-211.*

[Q] S.Quddus: Hochschild and cyclic homology of the crossed product of algebraic irrational rotational algebra by finite subgraoups of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, *http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.5983*

[TH] G. Halbout and X. Tang: Noncommutative Poisson structures on orbifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362, Number 5, 2249-2277 (2010).*

[TPPN] N. Neumaier, M.Pflaum, H. Posthuma, X. Tang: Homology of formal deformations of proper etale Lie groupoids. (English summary) *J. Reine Angew. Math. 593, 117-168 (2006).*

[TPPT] M. Pflaum, H. Posthuma, X. Tang, H. Tseng: Orbifold cup products and ring structures on Hochschild cohomology groups, *Commun. Contemp. Math. 13, 123-182 (2011).*

[VP] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu: Imbedding the irrational rotation C*-algebra into an AF-algebra, *Journal of Operator Theory, Volume 4, 201-210 (1980).*

Safdar Quddus, Department of Mathematics, Islamic University, Al-Madinah, K.S.A.,
Email: safdar.quddus@go.wustl.edu.